IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	CRIMINAL ACTION NO.
v.)	2:21cr190-MHT
)	(WO)
JOSEPH ANTHONY COLEMAN)	

ORDER

Based representations made during a on the conference call on the record on February 8, 2022, it appears that, at sentencing on February 7, the court erred in orally granting the government's oral motion to dismiss the superseding indictment against defendant Joseph Anthony Coleman. It was the court's impression, based representations by probation on and the government, that Coleman had pleaded to the original In fact, he pleaded to the superseding indictment. indictment. (Though, as it appears, the charge in the original indictment against Coleman is identical that in the superseding indictment.)

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that, under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 35, so as to correct a technical

error, the court vacates its earlier oral order (Doc. 869) granting the government's oral motion to dismiss (Doc. 868) and instead grants the government's oral motion to dismiss (Doc. 875) to the extent that the original indictment (Doc. 1), but not the superseding indictment (Doc. 225), is dismissed solely as to defendant Joseph Anthony Coleman.

DONE, this the 8th day of February, 2022.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE